

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,954	10/31/2003	David DiFrancesco	021751-002150US	8801	
68218 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP/PIXAR TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ANYIKIRE, CHIKAODILI E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/698,954 DIFRANCESCO, DAVID Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 November 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18, 23 and 36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18,23 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/698,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is responsive to application number (10698954) filed on October 31, 2003. Claims 1-18, 23, and 36 are pending and have been examined.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18, 23, and 36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary sikil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 1-2, 4-6, 8-13, 15, 18, 23, and 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramsay et al (US 4,757,374, hereafter Ramsay) in view of Lee (US 5,653, 522).

Art Unit: 2621

As per claim 1, Ramsay discloses a film recorder comprises:

a film recording device (Fig 1, 11, and 12, element 32 (35 MM film camera)) configured to expose sequential frames of film media (Col 6 Ln 60-61 and Col 8 Ln 9-10; Ramsay has a film recorder that exposes a film strip continuously);

at least one flat panel display device driven directly from a computer ();
and an alignment unit (Fig 1, 11, element 26 and 27) coupled to the film
recording device (Fig 1, 11, and 12 element 32 (35 MM film camera)) and to the display
device (Fig 11, element 45; Col 3 Ln 22-31; the reference discloses using guiding rods
to control the recording device and display device according to an specific alignment).

wherein the alignment unit (Fig 1, 11, element 26 and 27) is used to position an optical axis (Fig 1, o) of the flat panel display device (Fig 11, element 45; Col 3 Ln 22-31) with respect to an optical axis of the film recording device such that the film recording device (Fig 1, 11, and 12 element 32 (35 MM film camera)) can expose the film media to the plurality of images (Col 3 Ln 1-31 and Col 4 Ln 17-38 and Ln 67 – Col 5 Ln 5, Col 7 Ln 24-43, and Col 8 Ln 29-40).

However, Ramsay does not explicitly teach at least one flat panel display device configured to display at least one color component image associated with an image.

In the same field of endeavor, Lee discloses at least one flat panel display device (Fig 5 element 10) configured to display at least one color component image associated with an image (col 4 lns 14-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ramsay with the invention of Lee.

Art Unit: 2621

The advantage of the invention is the correction of the adjustment of LCD panels (col 4 lns18-22).

As per claim 2, Ramsay discloses the film recorder of claim 1 further comprising an external illumination source configured to provide illumination to the one flat panel display;

wherein the external illumination source (Fig 11 element 115 and Fig 13 element 120) is one of the group: LED, strobe lamp, digital light projector (Col 4 Ln 62-66 and Col 7 Ln 53-57).

As per claim 4, Ramsay discloses the film recorder of claim 2.

However, Ramsay does not explicitly teach further comprising:

a second flat panel display device configured to display a second color component image associated with the image;

a third flat panel display device configured to display a third color component image associated with the image; and

an optical combiner coupled to the one flat panel display, to the second flat panel display, and to the third flat panel display, the optical combiner configured to optically combine the first color component image, the second color component image, and the third color component image to form a composite image.

In the same field of endeavor, Lee teaches a second flat panel display device (Fig 5 element 11) configured to display a second color component image associated with the image (col 4 Ins 14-24);

Application/Control Number: 10/698,954 Art Unit: 2621

a third flat panel display (Fig 5 element 12) device configured to display a third color component image associated with the image (col 4 Ins 14-24); and

an optical combiner (Fig 5 element 13) coupled to the one flat panel display, to the second flat panel display, and to the third flat panel display, the optical combiner configured to optically combine the first color component image, the second color component image, and the third color component image to form a composite image (col 3 lns 40-50 and col 4 lns 14-24).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ramsay with the plurality of displays of Lee.

The advantage of the invention is the correction of the adjustment of LCD panels (col 4 Ins18-22).

As per claim 5, Lee discloses the film recorder of claim 4 wherein the film recording device exposes the frame of film media to the composite image (col 4 lns 14-24).

As per **claim 6**, Lee discloses the film recorder of claim 5 further comprising wherein the one flat panel display is monochromatic (Fig 5 element 10-12; col 4 lns 14-24; discloses three separate monochromatic displays).

As per claim 8, Lee discloses the film recorder of claim 1,

wherein the one flat panel display is also configured to display sequentially the second color component image associated with the same frame and to display sequentially the third color component image associated with the same frame and

Art Unit: 2621

wherein the film recording device is operative to expose the same frame to each of the color component images (col 4 lines 14-24).

As per **claim 9**, Ramsay discloses the film recorder of claim 8 wherein the film recording device is operative to expose the frame of film media to the one color component image, then to the second color component image, and then to the third color component image (Col 6 Ln 60-61 and Col 8 Ln 9-10).

As per claim 11, the uses of LCDs are well-known to any one of ordinary skill in the art and therefore the examiner takes Official Notice. LCDs are common to flat-panel display and are advantageous because they provide low-cost and efficiency to display systems.

Regarding **claim 12**, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 1 are applicable for claim 12.

Regarding claim 13, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 2 are applicable for claim 13.

Regarding claim 15, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 4 are applicable for claim 15.

Regarding claim 17, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 11 are applicable for claim 17.

Regarding claim 18, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 are applicable for claim 18.

As per claim 23, Ramsay discloses the method of claim 15 further comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

making a release print in response to the film media (Col 6 Ln 60-61 and Col 8 Ln 9-10); and

displaying the release print to audience (Col 6 Ln 60-61 and Col 8 Ln 9-10).

As per claim 36, the method of claim 23 further including the step of:
enhancing illumination while recording directly from the sequence of the
composite images to intermediate media, including an internegative or interpositive, to
minimize the number of required film transfer processes in making the release print
(refer to claim 23).

 Claims 3, 7, 10, 14, and 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramsay et al (US 4,757,374, hereafter Ramsay) in view of Lee (US 5.653, 522) in further view of Jones (2002/0163676).

As per **claim 3**, Ramsey discloses the film recorder of claim 2 wherein the external illumination source comprises one or more digital light projectors (refer to claim 2).

However, Ramsey does not explicitly teach wherein the one or more digital light projectors project hex chromatic color space images.

In the same field of endeavor, Jones teaches wherein the one or more digital light projectors project hex chromatic color space images (paragraphs [0032], [0033], and [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ramsay with the plurality of displays of Jones.

Art Unit: 2621

The advantage is displaying a broader range of colors, which leads to an optimal display environment is provided (paragraph [0033])

As per claim 7, Ramsay discloses the film recorder of claim 4.

However, Ramsay does not explicitly teach further comprising a color filter coupled between the external illumination source and the one flat panel display, wherein the color filter is a color associated with a color component of the one color component image.

In the same field of endeavor, Jones teaches further comprising a color filter coupled between the external illumination source and the one flat panel display, wherein the color filter is a color associated with a color component of the one color component image (paragraph [0032]-[0033]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ramsay with the plurality of displays of Jones.

The advantage is displaying a broader range of colors, which leads to an optimal display environment is provided (paragraph [0033]).

Regarding claim 10, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 7 are applicable for claim 10.

As per claim 14, Ramsay discloses the method of claim of claim 13 wherein the external illumination comprises more than one digital light projector (Fig 11 element 115 and Fig 13 element 120; Col 4 Ln 62-66 and Col 7 Ln 53-57).

Art Unit: 2621

However, Ramsay does not explicitly teach wherein the more than one digital light projector illuminate the one flat panel display with images in the RGB and CMY color space.

In the same field of endeavor, Jones teaches wherein the more than one digital light projector illuminate the one flat panel display with images in the RGB and CMY color space (paragraphs [0032], [0033], and [0044]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Ramsay with the plurality of displays of Jones.

The advantage is displaying a broader range of colors, which leads to an optimal display environment is provided (paragraph [0033]).

Regarding claim 16, arguments analogous to those presented for claim 5 and 7 are applicable for claim 16.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 2621

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHIKAODILI E. ANYIKIRE whose telephone number is (571)270-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272 - 7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2621

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /Chikaodili E Anyikire/ Patent Examiner AU 2621